arXiv:1608.07887v1 [math.NT] 29 Aug 2016

AN EXTREMAL PROBLEM RELATED TO GENERALIZATIONS OF THE NYMAN-BEURLING AND BÁEZ-DUARTE CRITERIA

DIMITAR K. DIMITROV AND WILLIAN D. OLIVEIRA

Abstract. We establish generalizations of the Nyman-Beurling and Báez-Duarte criteria concerning lack of zeros of Dirichlet L-functions in the semi-plane $\Re(s) > 1/p$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. We pose and solve a natural extremal problem for Dirichlet polynomials which take values one at the zeros of the corresponding L-function on the vertical line $\Re(s) = 1/p$.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let C be the space of functions $h : (0, 1) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_k x} \right\}, \qquad \theta_k \geq 1, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of x and the constants $b_k \in \mathbb{C}$ obey the restriction $\sum b_k/\theta_k = 0$. Let C^p be the closure of C in $L^p(0, 1)$. The following classical result is due to Beurling [13]:

Theorem A. *The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ does not vanish in the semi-plane $\Re(s) > 1/p$ if and only if $C^p = L^p(0, 1)$.*

Since the result was proved first by Nyman [29] for $p = 2$ in 1950 and generalised by Beurling [13] for $p > 1$ in 1955 it is nowadays commonly known as the Nyman-Beurling criterion. Bercovici and Foias [10] establish the case $p = 1$ in 1984 while it obviously does not hold for $p > 2$. Theorem A has attracted the attention because of its importance to the study of distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta function. We refer to the more recent contributions [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 28, 31]. For $p = 2$ the above theorem provides a criterion for the Riemann hypothesis (RH).

In a sequence of papers Báez-Duarte [2, 3, 4, 5], also in collaboration with Balazard, Landreau and Saias [6], obtained various improvements of the Nyman-Beurling criterion. In particular, in [4] Báez-Duarte showed that in the most important case $p = 2$ the conditions $\theta_k \geq 1$ in (1.1) can be substituted by $\theta_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the restriction $\sum b_k/\theta_k = 0$ can be removed. Báez-Duarte's contribution implies the following beautiful criterion for the Riemann hypothesis in terms of approximation of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$ of the interval $(0, 1)$:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M06, 11M26.

Key words and phrases. Nyman-Beurling criterion, Báez-Duarte criterion, Dirichlet L-function, extremal problem, orthogonal Dirichlet polynomials.

Research supported by by the Brazilian foundations CNPq under Grant 307183/2013-0 and FAPESP under Grants 2016/09906-0 and 2013/14881-9.

Theorem B. *The RH holds if and only if* $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = 0$*, where*

$$d_n^2 = \inf_{b_1,\dots,b_n\in\mathbb{C}} \int_0^\infty \left| \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \left\{ \frac{1}{kx} \right\} \right|^2 dx.$$

The beauty of the latter statement is that the above extremal problem is nothing but a problem about the best approximation of $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$ in a Hilbert space in terms of elements from a finite dimensional subspace and the solution of every such a problem is given by the projection. Indeed, d_n is the distance in $L^2(0,\infty)$ from $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$ to the n-dimensional space $\operatorname{span}\{\rho_k(x) : k = 1,\dots,n\}$, where $\rho_k(x) = \{1/kx\}$. It is well known that

$$d_n^2 = \frac{\det G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n,\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)})}{\det G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n)},$$

where $G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n,\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)})$ and $G(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n)$ are the Gram matrices of the corresponding functions and the inner product is defined by

$$(g,h) = \int_0^\infty g(x)\,\overline{h(x)}\,dt.$$

The Báez-Duarte useful version, including the one about L-functions in the Selberg class, due to de Roton [21], have been proved only for $p = 2$. We fill this gap, establishing a generalisation for Dirichlet L-functions, for every $p \in (1,2]$. In order to formulate our results, let $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-function with a character modulo q and $p \in (1,2]$. Define the function

$$\kappa(x) = \beta\, x^\alpha - \sum_{k\le x} \chi(k)\, k^{1/2-1/p},$$

where $\alpha = 3/2 - 1/p$ and $\beta = \varphi(q)/(\alpha q)$ if χ is principal and $\alpha = \beta = 0$ if χ is non-principal character. We prove:

Theorem 1. *Let* $p \in (1,2]$ *and* $L(s,\chi)$ *be a Dirichlet L-function. Then* $L(s,\chi)$ *does not vanish for* $\Re s > 1/p$ *if and only if* $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n(L,p) = 0$*, where*

$$d_n^2(L,p) = \inf_{b_1,\dots,b_n\in\mathbb{C}} \int_0^\infty \left| \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k\, \kappa\left(\frac{1}{kx}\right) \right|^2 dx.$$

Observe that $d_n^2(L,p)$ is well defined. Indeed, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $\kappa\left(\frac{1}{kx}\right)$ belongs to $L^2(0,\infty)$ because it vanishes for $x > 1$ and we shall prove that the integrand is bounded in $(0,1)$.

The relevance of the last results is that it permits the use of the classical tools from the theory of Hilbert spaces in the investigation of the zeros of Dirichlet L-function on semi-planes $\Re(s) > 1/p$ for $p \in (1,2]$. For instance, our generalization of Theorem B allows us to write $d_n^2(L,p)$ as a quotient of determinants. More specifically,

$$d_n^2(L,p) = \frac{\det G(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n,\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)})}{\det G(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)},$$

where $\lambda_k(x) = \kappa(1/kx)$.

With the aid of the Mellin transform we prove that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following one:

Theorem 2. *Let $p \in (1,2]$ and $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-function. Then $L(s,\chi)$ does not vanish for $\Re s > 1/p$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n(L,p) = 0$, where*

$$d_n^2(L,p) = \inf_{A_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Re(s)=1/p} \left| \frac{1 - L(s,\chi)A_n(s)}{s} \right|^2 |ds|$$

and $\mathcal{D}_n$ is the space of ordinary Dirichlet polynomials of the form $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k k^{-s}$.

As we have already mentioned, Theorem 2 was established for $p = 2$ for the more general class of Selberg L-functions by de Roton [21]. Since our principal aim is to extend the Báez-Duarte criterion to semi-planes $\Re(s) > 1/p$ free of zeros of L-functions, we restrict ourselves to Dirichlet L-series. As it will become clear in the course of the proofs our choice is due the fact that we are able to deal with the reciprocal $1/L(s,\chi)$ when $L(s,\chi)$ is a Dirichlet L-series.

Since the quantity $d_n^2(L,p)$ can be defined equivalently by

$$d_n^2(L,p) = \inf_{A_n \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 1 - L\left(\frac{1}{p} + it, \chi\right) A_n\left(\frac{1}{p} + it\right) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{1/p^2 + t^2}, \tag{1.2}$$

then the fundamental question arises:

Problem 1. *For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1,2]$, determine the best approximation of $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,\infty)}$ by products of the form $L(1/p+it)A_n(1/p+it)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\omega)$, where $\omega(t) = 1/(1/p^2+t^2)$ is the weight function.*

Since, for any choice of A_n, the functions $1 - L(s,\chi)A_n(s)$ which appear in (1.2) are featured by the property that they take value one at the zeros of $L(s,\chi)$, we pose and solve the following natural extremal problem for Dirichlet polynomials:

Problem 2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1, \dots, t_m$ be m distinct real numbers and $\mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p$, $m \ll n$, be the space of Dirichlet polynomials $B_{n,p}$ of degree n which obey the m interpolation conditions $B_{n,p}(1/p + it_j) = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Determine*

$$d_{n,m,p}^2 = \inf_{B_{n,p} \in \mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| B_{n,p}\left(\frac{1}{p} + it\right) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{1/p^2 + t^2}.$$

We provide the following solution to Problem 2:

Theorem 3. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any distinct real numbers $t_1, \dots, t_m$, there exists $n(m) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that, for every $n > n(m)$, there is a unique $\tilde{B}_{n,p} \in \mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p$ for which the infimum*

$$d_{n,m,p}^2 = \inf_{B_{n,p} \in \mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Re(s)=1/p} \left| \frac{B_{n,p}(s)}{s} \right|^2 |ds|$$

is attained. Moreover,

$$d_{n,m,p}^2 \sim \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1/p^2 + t_j^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Substantial efforts have been put to guess which should be the sequence of Dirichlet polynomials A_n for which eventually $d_n(\zeta,2)$ would converge to zero. Balazard and de Roton [9] proved that, under the RH, $d_n^2(\zeta,2) \ll (\log\log n)^{5/2+\varepsilon}(\log n)^{-1/2}$. Despite that one of the natural candidates are the partial sums of $1/\zeta(s)$, that is $\zeta_n^{-1}(s) :=$

$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k)k^{-s}$, Báez-Duarte [3] proved that for this choice the corresponding quantity $d_n(\zeta, 2)$ does not converge to zero. The "molified" partial sums, defined by

$$V_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\log k}{\log n}\right) \frac{\mu(k)}{k^s},$$

seem to be a better choice. Recently Bettin, Conrey and Farmer [11] proved that, if the RH is true and the additional requirement that $\sum_{|\Im\rho|\leq T} 1/|\zeta'(\rho)|^2 \ll T^{3/2-\delta}$ holds for some $\delta > 0$, where as usual ρ denote the nontrivial zeros of ζ, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|1 - \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right) V_n\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|^2 \frac{dt}{1/4+t^2} \sim \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{\Re(\rho)=1/2} \frac{1}{|\rho|^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.$$

Is is worth mentioning that Burnol [15] had generalized a previous result of Báez-Duarte, Balazard, Landreau and Saias [6] proving unconditionally that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \; d_n^2(\zeta, 2)\, \log n \;\geq \sum_{\Re(\rho)=1/2} \frac{m(\rho)^2}{|\rho|^2},$$

where $m(\rho)$ stands for the multiplicity of ρ. De Roton [19] extended the latter lower bound for L-functions in the Selberg class.

If we consider $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ as the imaginary parts of m distinct zeros $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m$ of the ζ function on the critical line, then the result of Theorem 3 becomes

$$d_{n,m,2}^2 \sim \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\rho_j|^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.$$

Since this result is obviously not conditional, the similarity with the conditional result of Bettin, Conrey and Farmer is amazing. This raises the question about how close the spaces and the corresponding approximating functions in the extremal Problems 1 and 2 are, especially if we let both n and m to go to infinity in Problem 2, eventually in a peculiar way. It is worth mentioning that naive numerical experiments show that $1 - \zeta V_n$ and $\tilde{B}_{n,p}$ match very well when the variable t is close to the origin, even for relatively small values of m and n.

Suppose that the L-function $L(s, \chi)$, defined above, possesses m distinct zeros $1/p + it_1, \ldots, 1/p + it_m$ on the vertical line $\Re(s) = 1/p$. Then Theorem 3 says that

$$d_{n,m,p}^2 \sim \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|1/p + t_j|^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.$$

2. Preliminary results

In this section we provide definitions and various classical results that we shall need in the proofs of the main results in order to make the exposition relatively self-contained. Some technical results are established too.

2.1. **Definitions and general considerations.** We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the space of Dirichlet series $\eta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k k^{-s}$ with the following property: $a_1 \neq 0$ and there exist real constants $\alpha = \alpha_\eta$ and $\beta = \beta_\eta$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, such that the function $\kappa_\eta : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\kappa_\eta(x) = \beta\, x^\alpha - \sum_{k\leq x} a_k, \tag{2.1}$$

is bounded. By convention, we set $\beta = 0$ whenever $\alpha = 0$. With every $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ we associate the space

(2.2)
$$C_\eta := \left\{ h : (0,1) \mapsto \mathbb{C} \,:\, h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \, \kappa_\eta \left(\frac{1}{\theta_k x} \right),\ b_k \in \mathbb{C},\ \theta_k \geq 1,\ \beta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{b_k}{\theta_k^\alpha} = 0 \right\}.$$

Observe that the functions in C_η are well defined and vanish identically for $x > 1$. The subspace $C_{\eta,\mathbb{N}} \subset C_\eta$ is obtained from C_η when the restrictions $\theta_k \geq 1$ are substituted by $\theta_k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by C_η^p and $C_{\eta,\mathbb{N}}^p$ the closures of C_η and $\mathbb{C}_{\eta,\mathbb{N}}$ in $L^p(0,1)$.

Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for every complex function $f \in C^1(0,n]$, with the aid of Abel's identity (see [1, Theorem 4.2]), we can write

$$\sum_{k \leq n} a_k f(k) = -\kappa_\eta(n) f(n) + \beta f(1) + \int_1^n \kappa_\eta(y) f'(y) dx + \beta \, \alpha \int_1^n y^{\alpha - 1} f(y) dy.$$

Choosing $f(y) = y^{-s}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{k \leq n} \frac{a_k}{k^s} = -\frac{\kappa_\eta(n)}{n^s} + \beta - s \int_1^n \frac{\kappa_\eta(y)}{y^{s+1}} dy + \frac{\beta\alpha \, n^{\alpha - s}}{\alpha - s} - \frac{\beta\alpha}{\alpha - s} \quad \text{for } \ \Re(s) > 1.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ and using the fact that κ_η is bounded, we obtain

$$\frac{\eta(s)}{s} = \frac{\beta}{s - \alpha} - \int_1^\infty \frac{\kappa_\eta(y)}{y^{s+1}} \, dy, \quad \Re(s) > 0.$$

If $\theta \geq 1$ and $\Re(s) > 0$, the change of variables $y = 1/(\theta x)$ and the explicit expression for κ_η yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta(s)\, \theta^{-s}}{s} &= \frac{\beta\, \theta^{-s}}{s - \alpha} - \int_0^{1/\theta} \kappa_\eta(1/\theta x)\, x^{s-1}\, dx \\ &= \frac{\beta\, \theta^{-s}}{s - \alpha} - \int_0^1 \kappa_\eta(1/\theta x)\, x^{s-1}\, dx + \int_{1/\theta}^1 \kappa_\eta(1/\theta x)\, x^{s-1}\, dx \\ &= \frac{\beta\, \theta^{-\alpha}}{s - \alpha} - \int_0^1 \kappa_\eta(1/\theta x)\, x^{s-1}\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \, \kappa_\eta(1/\theta_k x)$ in C_η we obtain

$$\int_0^1 h(x) x^{s-1} dx = -\frac{\eta(s) \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \theta_k^{-s}}{s}, \quad \Re(s) > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Since the Mellin transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}\left[f(x); s\right] = \mathcal{M} f(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{s-1} dx,$$

then (2.3) shows that the Mellin transform of any function h in C_η is given by

$$\mathcal{M}\left[h(x); s\right] = -\frac{\eta(s) \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \theta_k^{-s}}{s}, \quad \Re(s) > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$ be the space of complex functions f such that $g(t) = f(1/2 + it)$ and $g \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$. The Mellin transform $\mathcal{M} : L^2(0,\infty) \mapsto L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$ is defined in the following way. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset L^2(0,\infty)$ be the space of functions f, such that

the corresponding function $g(x) = f(x)x^{-1/2}$ obeys $g \in L^1(0,\infty)$. Then the Mellin transform of $f \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}[f(x);s] = \mathcal{M}f(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx.$$

Observe that the Mellin and the Fourier transform are related by

$$\mathcal{M}f(1/2+it) = \mathcal{F}\left[f(e^{-u})e^{-u/2};t\right].$$

This observation and the fact that $\mathcal{B}$ is dense in $L^2(0,\infty)$ allows us to apply the Plancherel theorem to extend $1/\sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{M}$ to an isometry between $L^2(0,\infty)$ and $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$.

2.2. **Lemmas and Theorems.** The following form of the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle appear as Theorem 5.53 in [24]:

Lemma A. *Let f be a function holomorphic on an open neighborhood of a strip $a \le \sigma \le b$, for some real numbers $a < b$, such that*

$$|f(s)| = O\left(\exp(|s|^C)\right)$$

for some $C \ge 0$ on $a \le \sigma \le b$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(a+it)| &\le M_a(1+|t|)^\alpha \\ |f(b+it)| &\le M_b(1+|t|)^\beta \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|f(\sigma+it| \le M_a^{l(\sigma)}M_b^{1-l(\sigma)}(1+|t|)^{\alpha l(\sigma)+\beta(1-l(\sigma))}$$

for all s in the strip, where l is the linear function such that $l(a) = 1$ and $l(b) = 0$.

The following is Lemma 3.12 in [30]:

Lemma B. *Let $f(s) = \sum a_k k^{-s}$ be a Dirichlet series, convergent for $\Re(s) = \sigma > 1$, with $a_k = O(\psi(k))$, where $\psi(n)$ is a nondecreasing function and*

$$\sum \frac{|a_k|}{k^\sigma} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^\alpha}\right), \quad \sigma \to 1.$$

Moreover, if $c > 0$, $\sigma + c > 1$, x is a non-integer and N is the integer closest to x, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k<x}\frac{a_k}{n^s} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} f(s+w)\frac{x^w}{w}dw + O\left(\frac{x^c}{T(\sigma+c-1)^\alpha}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{\psi(2x)x^{1-\sigma}\log x}{T}\right) + O\left(\frac{\psi(N)x^{1-\sigma}}{T|x-N|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Another result we shall need is the following summary of Theorems 5.6 and 5.23 in [24]:

Theorem C. *Let $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-function. Then:*

(i) the estimate

$$|L(s,\chi)| < O(|s|^{1/4})$$

holds for $\Re(s) = 1/2$;

(ii) If $L(s,\chi)$ is a Dirichlet L-function with a primitive character modulo q, then the corresponding ξ-function

$$\xi_\chi(s) = (s(s-1))^{r(\chi)} q^{s/2}\pi^{s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s+\alpha(\chi)}{2}\right) L(s,\chi), \tag{2.5}$$

where $r(\chi)$ is the order of the pole at $s=1$ and either $\alpha(\chi)=0$ if $\chi(-1)=1$ or $\alpha(\chi)=1$ when $\chi(-1)=-1$, is an entire function of order one and can be factorised in the form

$$\xi_\chi(s) = e^{a+bs}\prod_\rho \left(1-\frac{s}{\rho}\right)e^{s/\rho}, \tag{2.6}$$

where ρ runs over the nontrivial zeros of $L(s,\chi)$. Moreover, if $L(s,\chi)$ is a Dirichlet L-function with any character modulo q, the Hadamard factorization (2.6) *still holds because of* [1, Theorem 12.9].

The following is an analog of a celebrated result of Littlewood [25] (see also [30, Theorem 14.2] and [23, Theorem 1.12]) about conditional estimates of the zeta function along vertical lines on the semi-plane $\Re(s) > 1/2$. The generalisation below concerns estimates of a Dirichlet L-series and its reciprocal along vertical lines on the semi-plane free of zeros of L.

Theorem 4. *Let $\delta,\epsilon > 0$, $p \in (1,2]$, and $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-function without zeros in the semi-plane $\sigma > 1/p$. Then there is a positive $t_0 = t_0(p,\delta,\epsilon)$ such that*

$$|L(s,\chi)|^{\pm 1} \le |t|^\epsilon$$

for $\sigma > 1/p+\delta$ and $|t| \ge t_0$.

Proof. Let $\sigma > 1/p$. Applying the Borel-Carathéodory theorem for $\log L(s,\chi)$ which is holomorphic for $\sigma > 1/p$, with a possible exception at $s=1$, and the concentric circumferences with centre at $2+it$ and radii

$$2-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2\log\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad 2-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\log\log t}, \quad t > t_1,$$

where t_1 is chosen in such a way that the possible pole at $s=1$ of $L(s,\chi)$ is outside the circumferences and simultaneously the inequality $\Re(\log L(s,\chi)) = \log|L(s,\chi)| < \log t$ holds. The latter holds because of Theorem C, via an application of the Phragmén-Lindelöf convexity principle. Then in the smaller circumference

$$\begin{aligned} |\log L(s,\chi)| &\le ((8-\frac{4}{p})\log\log t - 4))\log t \\ &\quad +((8-\frac{4}{p})\log\log t - 3)|\log L(2+it,\chi)| \qquad (2.7)\\ &< 8(\log\log t)(\log t + |\log L(2+it,\chi)|). \qquad (2.8)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log L(2+it,\chi) = O(1)$, then $\log L(2+it,\chi) \le \log t$ for $t > t_2$, and there exists a constant $A > 2$ such that

$$|\log L(s,\chi)| \le A(\log\log t)\log t, \quad t > \max\{t_1,t_2\}.$$

Let s be such that $1/p + 1/(\log\log t) \le \sigma \le 1$. Let us apply the Hadamard three circles theorem to C_1, C_2 e C_3 with centre $\log\log t + it$ which pass through the points $1+(1/\log\log t)+it$, $\sigma+it$ and $1/p+(1/\log\log t)+it$. Then the radii are

$r_1 = \log\log t - 1 - (1/\log\log t)$, $r_2 = \log\log t - \sigma$ and $r_3 = \log\log t - 1/p - (1/\log\log t)$,

respectively. Denote by M_1, M_2 and M_3 the maxima of $|\log L(s,\chi)|$ on C_1, C_2 and C_3. Then

$$M_2 \leq M_1^{1-a} M_3^a,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} \Big/ \log \frac{r_3}{r_1} \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{1 + (1/\log\log t) - \sigma}{\log\log t - 1 - (1/\log\log t)}\right) \Big/ \log\left(1 + \frac{1 - 1/p}{\log\log t - 1 - (1/\log\log t)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1-\sigma}{1-1/p} + O(1/\log\log t), \quad t > \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8) we have $M_3 < A(\log\log t)\log t$. On the other hand,

$$M_1 \leq \max_{x \geq 1+(1/\log\log t)} \Big| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_1(n)\chi(n)}{n^{x+it}} \Big| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+(1/\log\log t)}} < A\log\log t,$$

for $t > \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$.

Hence,

$$|\log L(\sigma + it, \chi)| < (A\log\log t)^{1-a}(A\log\log t)^a(\log t)^a) = A\log\log t(\log t)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{1-1/p}},$$

in the region $1/p + 1/(\log\log t) \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $t > \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}$.

Given $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, there is t_5 with

$$1/(\log\log t) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad A\log\log t(\log t)^{\frac{1-p\sigma}{p-1}} < \epsilon, \quad t > t_5.$$

Therefore,

$$-\epsilon \log t \leq \log|L(s,\chi)| \leq \epsilon \log t, \quad t > \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}.$$

Finally, setting $t_0 := \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}$, we conclude that

$$|L(s,\chi)|^{\pm 1} \leq t^{\epsilon}$$

in the region $\sigma \in [1/p + \delta, 1]$, $t > t_0$.

It is not difficult to observe that the same reasoning yields that the estimate

$$|L(s,\overline{\chi})|^{\pm 1} \leq t^{\epsilon}$$

holds in the same region, where $\overline{\chi}$ is the conjugate character of χ. Since $|L(\overline{s},\chi)| = |L(s,\overline{\chi})|$, then

$$|L(s,\chi)|^{\pm 1} \leq |t|^{\epsilon}$$

for $\sigma \in [1/p + \delta, 1]$, $t > t_0$. Applying the Phragmén-Lindelöf convexity principle we obtain the desired result. □

Next we formulate and prove a generalisation of another theorem of Littlewood [25] (see Theorem 14.25(A) in [30]):

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $L(s,\chi)$ does not vanish for $\Re(s) > 1/p$. Then the series*

$$\sum \frac{\mu(k)\chi(k)}{k^s}$$

converges to $1/L(s,\chi)$, for $\Re(s) > 1/p$.

Proof. Let $\Re(s) > 1/p$. Applying Lemma B for

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{L(s,\chi)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(k)\chi(k)k^{-s},$$

$c = 2$ and x the half of an odd number, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k<x} \frac{\mu(k)\chi(k)}{k^s} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{2-iT}^{2+iT} \frac{1}{L(s+w,\chi)}\frac{x^w}{w}dw + O\left(\frac{x^2}{T}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{2-iT}^{1/p-\sigma+\delta-iT} + \int_{1/p-\sigma+\delta-iT}^{1/p-\sigma+\delta+iT} + \int_{1/p-\sigma+\delta+iT}^{2+iT}\right)\frac{1}{L(s+w,\chi)}\frac{x^w}{w}dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{L(s,\chi)} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{T}\right),\end{aligned}$$

with $0 < \delta < \sigma - 1/p$. By Theorem 4 the first and the third integrals can be estimated by

$$O\left(T^{-1+\epsilon}\int_{1/p-\sigma+\delta}^{2} x^u du\right) = O\left(T^{-1+\epsilon}x^2\right)$$

and the second one by

$$O\left(x^{1/p-\sigma+\delta}\int_{-T}^{T}(1+|t|)^{-1+\epsilon}dt\right) = O\left(x^{1/p-\sigma+\delta}T^{\epsilon}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k<x}\frac{\mu(k)\chi(k)}{k^s} = \frac{1}{L(s,\chi)} + O\left(T^{-1+\epsilon}x^2\right) + O\left(x^{1/p-\sigma+\delta}T^{\epsilon}\right).$$

Choosing $T = x^3$, the O-terms tend to zero when $x \to \infty$ which completes the proof. □

Lemma 1 and Theorem 4 yield:

Lemma 2. *Let $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, $p \in (1,2]$, with $1/p + \delta \leq 1$, and $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-series which does not vanish in the semi-plane $\sigma > 1/p$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\mu(k)\chi(k)}{k^s} = O((1+|t|)^{\epsilon})$$

uniformly with respect to both $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the strip $\Re(s) \in [1/p+\delta, 1]$.

Finally we recall the following result about sums involving Dirichlet characters (see [1, Theorem 6.17]):

Lemma C. *Let χ be a non-principal Dirichlet character and $f \in C^1[1,\infty)$ be a non-negative function which decreases in $[1,\infty)$. Then*

$$\sum_{k\leq x}\chi(k)f(k) = O(f(1)).$$

2.3. **Lubinsky's Dirichlet orthogonal polynomials.** Recently Lubinsky [26] considered the general Dirichlet polynomials built on the basis λ_k^{-it}, where $1=\lambda_1<\lambda_2<\lambda_3<\cdots$, and provided an ingenious construction of the corresponding orthogonal basis with respect to the arctangent density. For any such a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers λ_n, Lubinsky proved that the general Dirichlet polynomials $\phi_1(t)=1$, $\phi_n(t)=(\lambda_n^{1-it}-\lambda_{n-1}^{1-it})/\sqrt{\lambda_n^2-\lambda_{n-1}^2}$, $n\geq 2$, satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi_n(t)\overline{\phi_m(t)}\frac{dt}{\pi(1+t^2)}=\delta_{nm},\quad n,m\in\mathbb{N},$$

and described the asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding kernel polynomaials. The choice $\lambda_n=n^{1/p}$ and a simple change of variables shows that the Dirichlet polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(t) &= 1,\\ \psi_n(t) &= \frac{n^{1/p-it}-(n-1)^{1/p-it}}{\sqrt{n^{2/p}-(n-1)^{2/p}}},\quad n\geq 2\end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p\,\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi_n(t)\overline{\psi_m(t)}\frac{dt}{1/p^2+t^2}=\delta_{nm},\quad n,m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$K_n(u,v)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_k(u)\overline{\psi_k(v)}$$

be the corresponding kernel polynomials, which, according to [26, (1.20), (1.19)], obey the following uniform asymptotic estimates in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, as $n\to\infty$:

$$K_n(u,u)=\frac{p}{2}\,|1/p+iu|^2\,\log n\,(1+o(1)) \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$|K_n(u,v)|\leq p\,\frac{|1/p+iu|\,|1/p-iv|}{|u-v|}+o(\log n). \tag{2.10}$$

We shall need the following simple fact:

Lemma 3. *For every $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and for any distinct numbers $t_1,\dots,t_m\in\mathbb{R}$, there exists $n(m)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that the self-adjoint matrix $H=(K_n(t_i,t_j))_{i,j=1}^m$ is nonsingular for every $n>n(m)$. Moreover,*

$$\frac{\det H}{(\log n)^m}=\frac{p^m}{2^m}|1/p+it_1|^2\dots|1/p+it_m|^2+o(1)\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. The Leibniz formula for the expansion of the determinant H over the permutations $\mathcal{P}_m$ and the above asymptotic formulae for the kernel polynomials yield

$$\begin{aligned}\det H &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{P}_m}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)K_n(t_1,t_{\sigma(1)})\dots K_n(t_m,t_{\sigma(m)})\\ &= \frac{p^m}{2^m}\,|1/p+it_1|^2\dots|1/p+it_m|^2(\log n)^m(1+o(1))+O((\log n)^{m-2})\\ &= \frac{p^m}{2^m}\,|1/p+it_1|^2\dots|1/p+it_m|^2(\log n)^m+o((\log n)^m),\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.11). Thus, obviously H is nonsingular for all sufficiently large n. □

3. Proofs

Our first result is a generalisation of the Nyman-Beurling criterion for a relatively wide class of Dirichlet series.

Theorem 5. *If $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ then for every $p > 1$, the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) $\eta(s)$ does not vanish in the semi-plane $\Re(s) > 1/p$;
(ii) $C_\eta^p = L^p(0,1)$;
(iii) The characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$ belongs to C_η^p.

Similar results were proved recently by Delaunay, Fricain, Mosaki and Robert [16, 17] and de Roton [20]. Though the class of Dirichlet series considered in [16, 17] is wider than we deal with, the proof that we furnish is rather simpler. One of the result in [20] contains the statement of Theorem 5 but only for the particular case $p = 2$. We provide a proof of Theorem 5 because it turns out to a be a clue tool for the remaining results that we establish in this note.

We begin with a result which is analogous to an observation of Beurling [13] concerning the case C_ζ:

Lemma 4. *For every $\gamma \in (0,1]$, let $T_\gamma : L_p(0,1) \mapsto L_p(0,1)$ be the operator defined by*

$$T_\gamma f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x/\gamma) & \text{if} \quad 0 < x \leq \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \gamma < x < 1, \end{cases}$$

and $T_0(f)$ is the identically zero function. Then $\|T_\gamma(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ and $T_\gamma(C_\eta) \subset C_\eta$.

and summarise the results in Beurling's paper [12] as follows:

Lemma D. *Let $g \in L_q(0,1)$, with $1 < q < \infty$, be such that*

$$\int_0^a |g(x)|dx > 0, \quad \text{for every } a \in (0,1).$$

For $1 \leq r < q$, consider E_g^r, the closure in $L_r(0,1)$ of the linear space generated by $\{g(\gamma x), \gamma \in (0,1]\}$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\lambda) > -1/q$, such that

$$x^\lambda \in \bigcap_{1 \leq r < q} E_g^r.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. First we prove that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. It is clear that (ii) implies (iii). Suppose that $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \in C_\eta^p$. For every $g \in L_p(0,1)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a partition $0 = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n = 1$ of the do interval $(0,1)$ and constants $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, not all equal to zero, such that

$$\|g - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{(\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k)}\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} \in C_\eta^p$ there is $h \in C_\eta$ with

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} - h\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{4n \max\{|a_k|\}}.$$

Let us choose $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (T_{\gamma_k} - T_{\gamma_{k-1}})h$. Lemma 4 implies that $f \in C_\eta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g - f\|_p &= \|g - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (T_{\gamma_k} - T_{\gamma_{k-1}})h\|_p \\
&= \|g - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \mathbf{1}_{(\gamma_{k-1},\gamma_k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \mathbf{1}_{(\gamma_{k-1},\gamma_k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (T_{\gamma_k} - T_{\gamma_{k-1}})h\|_p \\
&= \|g - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \mathbf{1}_{(\gamma_{k-1},\gamma_k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (T_{\gamma_k} - T_{\gamma_{k-1}})(\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} - h)\|_p \\
&\leq \|g - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \mathbf{1}_{(\gamma_{k-1},\gamma_k)}\|_p + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| \, \|(T_{\gamma_k} - T_{\gamma_{k-1}})(\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} - h)\|_p \\
&< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove that (iii) implies (i). Let

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \, \kappa_\eta(1/\theta_k x) \in C_\eta.$$

By (2.3)

$$\int_0^1 (1 + h(t)) t^{s-1} dt = \frac{1 - \eta(s) \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \theta_k^{-s}}{s}, \quad \Re(s) > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} \in C_\eta^p$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $h \in C_\eta$ such that $\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} + h\|_p < \epsilon$. It is clear that $x^{s-1} \in L_q(0,1)$ provided $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\Re(s) > 1/p$. Furthermore,

$$\|x^{s-1}\|_q^q = \frac{1}{q(\Re(s) - 1/p)}.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to (3.12) we obtain

$$|1 - \eta(s) \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \theta_k^{-s}|^q < \epsilon^q \frac{|s|^q}{q(\Re(s) - 1/p)}.$$

Let us assume that η possesses a zero ρ in the semi-plane $\Re(s) > 1/p$. Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in the latter inequality we obtain an obvious contradiction. Therefore $\eta(s)$ does not vanish in $\Re(s) > 1/p$.

Finally we prove that (i) implies (ii). If C_η is not dense in $L_p(0,1)$, that is $C_\eta^p \neq L_p(0,1)$, theh by the Riesz representation theorem, there is $g \in L_q(0,1)$, such that g is a nonzero element of $L_q(0,1)$ and

$$\int_0^1 g(x) h(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in C_\eta.$$

With the aid of the operator T_γ, introduced in Lemma 4, we conclude that

$$\int_0^1 g(x) \, T_\gamma h(x) dx = \gamma \int_0^1 g(\gamma x) h(x) dx = 0, \quad \text{for every } h \in C_\eta. \tag{3.13}$$

In order to apply Lemma D to the function g we need to prove that

$$\int_0^a |g(x)| dx > 0, \quad \text{for every } a \in (0,1),$$

or equivalently, that g is not zero almost everywhere in $(0,a)$, for every $a \in (0,1)$. Suppose the contrary, that $g \equiv 0$ a.e. in $(0,a)$ for some $a \in (0,1)$. Choose b, such that $a < b < \min(1, 2a)$ and set

$$h(x) = b^{\alpha}\, \kappa_{\eta}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) - a^{\alpha}\, \kappa_{\eta}\left(\frac{b}{x}\right).$$

It is obvious that $h \in C_{\eta}$ and it vanishes for $x > b$. Let a_1 be the first coefficient in the representation of η which defines of the latter h. Recall that, by definition $a_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, h takes the value $a_1 a^{\alpha}$ in $x \in (a,b)$. Therefore,

$$0 = \int_0^1 g(x)h(x)dx = a_1 a^A \int_a^b g(x)dx, \quad a < b < \min(1, 2a),$$

which implies that $g = 0$ almost everywhere in $(0, \min\{1, 2a\})$. Substituting a by $2a, 4a, \ldots$ we conclude that $g = 0$ almost everywhere in $(0,1)$. This is a contradiction with the fact that g is a nonzero element of $L_p(0,1)$. Thus,

$$\int_0^a |g(x)|dx > 0, \quad \text{for every } a \in (0,1).$$

For $1 \leq r < q$, consider E_g^r, the closure in $L_r(0,1)$ of the linear space generated by $\{g(\gamma x),\ \gamma \in (0,1]\}$. By Lemma D there exists a function x^{λ}, with $\Re(\lambda) > -1/q$ and $x^{\lambda} \in \cap_{1 \leq r < q} E_g^r$. We shall prove that

$$\int_0^1 x^{\lambda} h(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in C_{\eta}.$$

For each $h \in C_{\eta}$ there is $M > 0$ such that $|h(x)| < M$ when $x \in (0,1)$. Since $x^{\lambda} \in E_g^1$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in (0,1]$ with $\|x^{\lambda} - \sum_{k=1}^n g(\gamma_k x)\|_1 < \epsilon/M$. The latter observation and (3.13) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_0^1 x^{\lambda} h(x)dx\right| &= \left|\int_0^1 \left(x^{\lambda} - \sum_{k=1}^n g(\gamma_k x) + \sum_{k=1}^n g(\gamma_k x)\right) h(x)dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_0^1 \left(x^{\lambda} - \sum_{k=1}^n g(\gamma_k x)\right) h(x)dx\right| \\
&\leq L \int_0^1 \left|x^{\lambda} - \sum_{k=1}^n g(\gamma_k x)\right| dx \\
&< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 x^{\lambda} h(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } h \in C_{\eta}.$$

In particular, choosing $h(x) = \kappa_{\eta}(1/x) - \theta^{\alpha}\kappa_{\eta}(1/\theta x)$, with $\theta \in [1, \infty)$, in such a way that $\theta^{\alpha-\lambda-1} - 1 \neq 0$, in view of (2.3) we obtain

$$0 = \int_0^1 x^{\lambda} \left(\kappa_{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \theta^{\alpha}\kappa_{\eta}\left(\frac{1}{\theta x}\right)\right) dx = \frac{\theta^{\alpha-\lambda-1} - 1}{\lambda + 1}\eta(\lambda + 1).$$

Therefore $\eta(\lambda + 1) = 0$ for $\Re(1 + \lambda) > 1 - 1/q = 1/p$, that is, η possesses a zero s with $\Re(s) > 1/p$. This contradicts (i). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $p \in (1,2]$ and $L(s,\chi)$ be a Dirichlet L-series with character modulo q. We define the functions

$$\eta(s) = L(s+1/p-1/2,\chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(k)}{k^{1/p-1/2}} \frac{1}{k^s}$$

and

$$\kappa_\eta(x) = \beta\, x^\alpha - \sum_{k\le x} \chi(k) k^{1/2-1/p},$$

with $\alpha = 3/2-1/p$ and $\beta = \varphi(q)/(\alpha q)$ if χ is principal and with $\alpha=\beta=0$ if χ is a non-principal character. We claim that $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$. For the principal character we use the Abel identity for the function $f(x) = x^{-1/p+1/2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\le x} \frac{\chi(k)}{k^{1/p-1/2}} &= -\left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q}x - \sum_{k\le x}\chi(k)\right)\frac{1}{x^{1/p-1/2}} + \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} \\ &\quad -(1/p-1/2)\int_1^x \left(\frac{\varphi(q)}{q}t - \sum_{k\le t}\chi(k)\right)\frac{1}{t^{1/p+1/2}}dt \\ &\quad +\frac{\varphi(q)}{q}\int_1^x \frac{1}{t^{1/p-1/2}}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Observe that for the principal character modulo q the function

$$\frac{\varphi(q)}{q}x - \sum_{k\le x}\chi(k)$$

is bounded because it is periodic with period q. Therefore

$$\sum_{k\le x} \frac{\chi(k)}{k^{1/p-1/2}} = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q(3/2-1/p)}x^{3/2-1/p} + O(1)$$

because the right-hand side of (3.14) is dominated by its last term while the remaining ones are bounded. Hence

$$\kappa_\eta(x) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q(3/2-1/p)}x^{3/2-1/p} - \sum_{k\le x}\frac{\chi(k)}{k^{1/p-1/2}}$$

isa bounded. Thus $\eta\in\mathcal{D}$ with $\alpha = 3/2-1/p$ and $\beta = \varphi(q)/(\alpha q)$. For a non-principal character we can use Lemma C with $f(x) = x^{-1/p+1/2}$ obtaining

$$\sum_{k\le x} \frac{\chi(k)}{k^{1/p-1/2}} = O(1)$$

which shows that $\eta\in\mathcal{D}$ with $\alpha=\beta=0$.

In order to prove the first statement of the theorem we need to show that $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$ belongs to the closure in $L^2(0,\infty)$ of the set $\operatorname{span}\{\kappa_\eta(1/kx) : k\in\mathbb{N}\}$. First we show that $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} \in C^2_{\eta,\mathbb{N}}$. The proof of this part of the theorem depends on the type of the character. Suppose first that χ is principal. Our proof is inspired by the ingenious idea developed by Bagchi [7] for his proof of the Báez-Duarte criterion for the Riemann zeta function.

If $L(s,\chi)$ does not vanish for $\Re(s) > 1/p$ then $\eta(s)$ does not vanish for $\Re(s)>1/2$. But $\eta\in\mathcal{D}$ and by Theorem 5 the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}$ belongs to C^2_η. Having in mind that that $\beta\neq 0$, it is not difficult to observe that $C_{\eta,\mathbb{N}} = \operatorname{span}\{\kappa_\eta(1/kx) -$

$(1/k^\alpha)\kappa_\eta(1/x) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For any $\theta \geq 1$, let us consider the Mellin transform of $\kappa_\eta(1/\theta x) - \theta^{-\alpha}\kappa_\eta(1/x)$. By (2.4),

$$\mathcal{M}\left[\kappa_\eta(1/\theta x) - \theta^{-\alpha}\kappa_\eta(1/x); s\right] = -\frac{\eta(s)}{s}(\theta^{-s} - \theta^{-\alpha}).$$

Recall again that, by Plancherel's theorem the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}$ can be extended to an isometry $(1/\sqrt{2\pi})\mathcal{M} : L^2(0,\infty) \to L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$. Therefore, in order to prove that $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} \in C^2_{\eta,\mathbb{N}}$ it suffices to establish the claim that $\mathcal{M}[\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}; s] = 1/s$ belongs to the closure of the set $\text{span}\{-\frac{\eta(s)}{s}(k^{-s} - k^{-\alpha}) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in the space $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1 - 1/p)$ we define the function $H_{n,\epsilon} \in L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$ by

$$H_{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_\eta(k)}{k^\epsilon} G_k,$$

where $\mu_\eta(k)$ are the coefficients of the expansion of $1/\eta$ in a formal Dirichlet series and

$$G_k(s) = (k^{-s} - k^{-\alpha})\frac{\eta(s)}{s}.$$

Observe that $H_{n,\epsilon} \in \text{span}\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and

$$H_{n,\epsilon}(s) = \frac{\eta(s)}{s}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_\eta(k)}{k^{s+\epsilon}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_\eta(k)}{k^{\alpha+\epsilon}}\right), \qquad s \in \Re(s) = 1/2.$$

The Dirichlet L-series $L(s,\chi)$ does not vanish when $\Re(s) > 1/p$ (hypothesis). Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_{n,\epsilon}(s) = H_\epsilon(s), \qquad \Re(s) = 1/2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_\epsilon(s) &= \frac{\eta(s)}{s}\left(\frac{1}{\eta(s+\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{\eta(\alpha+\epsilon)}\right) \\ &= \frac{L(s+1/p-1/2,\chi)}{s}\left(\frac{1}{L(s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon,\chi)} - \frac{1}{L(1+\epsilon,\chi)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 4 and Lemma 2 about estimates of Dirichlet L-series that the modulus of $H_{n,\epsilon}$ is bounded by a function from $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$. Hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, for every fixed $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_{n,\epsilon} = H_\epsilon,$$

in the $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$ norm. Since $H_{n,\epsilon} \in \text{span}\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for every fixed $\epsilon > 0$, then H_ϵ belongs to the closure of $\text{span}\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The function $L(s,\chi)$ has a pole in $s = 1$, so that, by the definition of $H_\epsilon(s)$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} H_\epsilon(s) = \frac{1}{s} = E(s), \qquad s \in \Re(s) = 1/2.$$

Thus, in order to prove that E belongs to the closure of $\text{span}\{G_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, it suffices to show that the modulus of H_ϵ, $0 < \epsilon < 1 - 1/p$, is uniformly bounded along the critical line by a function from $L^2(\Re(s) = 1/2)$. Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} H_\epsilon = E$$

in the norm of $L^2(\Re(s)=1/2)$. The Hadamard factorisation of $\xi_\chi(s)$ in Theorem C implies that there is a positive constant c_0 such that

$$\left|\frac{\xi_\chi(s+1/p-1/2)}{\xi_\chi(s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon)}\right| < c_0, \quad \Re(s)=1/2, \quad \epsilon\in(0,1-1/p).$$

The definition of ξ_χ in (2.5), Stirling's formula about the asymptotic behaviour of the Gamma function and the restriction on ϵ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{L(s+1/p-1/2,\chi)}{L(s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon,\chi)}\right| &< c_0\,(q\pi)^{\epsilon/2}\frac{(s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon)(s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon-1)}{s+1/p-1/2(s+1/p-1/2-1)}\\ &\quad\times\frac{\Gamma((s+1/p-1/2+\epsilon+\alpha(\chi))/2)}{\Gamma((s+1/p-1/2+\alpha(\chi))/2)}\\ &< c_1\,t^{1/4}.\end{aligned}$$

This estimate, the ones in Theorem C and Theorem 4, yield the desired estimate by an $L^2(\Re(s)=1/2)$ function:

$$|H_\epsilon(s)| = O(t^{-3/4}), \quad \Re(s)=1/2.$$

The proof for the case of non-principal character goes along similar reasonings with the only difference that in this situation we choice G_k to be

$$G_k(s) = k^{-s}\frac{\eta(s)}{s}.$$

We have already established that $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}\in C^2_{\eta,\mathbb{N}}$ which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{b_1,\ldots,b_n\in\mathbb{C}}\int_0^1\left|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\kappa_\eta\left(\frac{1}{kx}\right)\right|^2 dx = 0,$$

where $b_1,\ldots,b_n$ obey the additional restriction $\beta\sum_{k=1}^n b_k k^{-\alpha}=0$. This restriction implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{b_1,\ldots,b_n\in\mathbb{C}}\int_0^\infty\left|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\kappa_\eta\left(\frac{1}{kx}\right)\right|^2 dx = 0,$$

which obviously yields

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n(L,p) = 0.$$

The proof of the other statement of the theorem needs to be done for the principal character and for non-principal characters separately too. For the principal character, suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n(L,p)=0$. This means that for any given $\epsilon>0$ there exist complex numbers $b_1,\ldots,b_n$, such that $d_n^2(\eta,2)<\epsilon$, or equivalently, there exists $\tilde{h}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\kappa_\eta(1/(kx))$, such that $\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2[0,\infty)}<\epsilon$. By the definition of κ_η, it is clear that

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \le \beta\left|\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{b_k}{k^\alpha}\right|\left(\int_1^\infty x^{-2\alpha}dx\right)^{1/2} \tag{3.15}$$

and $x^{-2\alpha}$ is integrable because $2\alpha=3-2/p>1$. Consider the function

$$h(x) = \tilde{h}(x) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{b_k}{k^\alpha}\right)\kappa_\eta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Obviously $h \in C_\eta$, that is, it satisfies the lats restriction in (2.2). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|h-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} &= \left|\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k}{k^\alpha}\right| \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\kappa_\eta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left|\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b_k}{k^\alpha}\right| \left(\int_0^1 \left|\kappa_\eta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right|^2 dx + \beta\int_1^\infty x^{-2\alpha}dx\right)^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

where the first integral is well defined because $\kappa_\eta(1/x)$ is bounded in $(0,1)$. It follows then from (3.15) that, with a suitable constant K,

$$\|h-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \le K\,\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \le K\epsilon.$$

Then

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-h\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \le \|\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} + \|h-\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \le (1+K)\,\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} \in C_\eta^2$ and by Theorem 5 the function $\eta(s)$ does not vanish for $\Re(s) > 1/2$ or equivalently $L(s,\chi)$ have no zeros in $\Re(s) > 1/p$.

The proof in the case of a non-principal character is immediate. □

Proof of Theorem 2. First we observe that the previous theorem and an application of Mellin's transform implies that for every $L(s,\chi)$ the corresponding function $\eta(s) = L(s+1/p-1/2,\chi)$ is free of zeros in $\Re(s) > 1/2$ if and only if $\lim d_n(\eta,2) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where

$$d_n^2(\eta,2) = \inf_{A_n\in\mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Re(s)=1/2}\left|\frac{1-\eta(s)A_n(s)}{s}\right|^2 |ds|,$$

and the infimum is taken over the Dirichlet polynomials A_n of degree n, $A_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k k^{-s}$. But

$$\begin{aligned}d_n^2(\eta,2) &= \inf_{A_n\in\mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1-L(1/p+it,\chi)A_n(1/2+it)}{1/2+it}\right|^2 dt \\ &= \inf_{A_n\in\mathcal{D}_n} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1/p+it}{1/2+it}\right|^2\left|\frac{1-L(1/p+it,\chi)A_n(1/p+it)}{1/p+it}\right|^2 dt.\end{aligned}$$

Since obviously $1 < |(1/p+it)/(1/2+it)| < 2$, then

$$d_n(L,p) < d_n(\eta,2) < 2d_n(L,p)$$

and the result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1,\dots,t_m$ be distinct real numbers and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider the Lubinsky Dirichlet orthogonal polynomials $(\psi_k)_{k=1}^n$ defined in Section 2.3. Observe that $\mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p$ is nonempty since it contains $B_{n,p}(s) \equiv 1$. If $B_{n,p} \in \mathcal{D}_{n,m}^p$ then $B_n(1/p+it_j) = 1$, $j = 1,\dots,m$ and $B_n(1/p+it) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k\psi_k(t)$. The interpolations conditions can be rewritten in the form

$$A_{mn}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{1}_m,$$

where

$$A = A_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t_1) & \psi_2(t_1) & \dots & \psi_n(t_1) \\ \psi_1(t_2) & \psi_2(t_2) & \dots & \psi_n(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \psi_1(t_m) & \psi_2(t_m) & \dots & \psi_n(t_m) \end{pmatrix},$$

$\mathbf{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T$ and $\mathbf{1}_m$ is the column vector of size m all of whose entries are equal to one. Then obviously

$$\frac{1}{p\,\pi}\|B_n(1/p + it)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\omega)} = |b_1|^2 + |b_2|^2 + \cdots + |b_n|^2,$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \omega)$ is the weighted L^2 space with weight $\omega(t) = 1/(1/p^2 + t^2)$. Thus the problem reduces to minimize $\|\mathbf{B}\|^2$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, subject to $A_{mn}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{1}_m$. It is well known that the solution of the latter problem is given via a projection (see [14, Theorem 2.19] and [22, 27]). In our setting, it is equivalent to solve the system

$$\begin{aligned} A\,\mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{1}_m, \\ \mathbf{B} &= A^*\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 there exists $n(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the self-adjoint matrix $AA^* = H = (K_n(t_i, t_j))_{i,j=1}^m$ is nonsingular for every $n > n(m)$. Hence, for $n > n(m)$, the system of matricial equations has an unique solution $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = A^*H^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m$. Therefore, since $A\,A^* = H$ and H is self-adjoint, then

$$\frac{1}{p\,\pi}\|\tilde{B}_n(1/p + it)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\omega)} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{1}_m^*(H^{-1})^* A\,A^*H^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m = \mathbf{1}_m^*H^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m.$$

Thus, by the Cramer formula for the inverse matrix

$$\frac{1}{p\,\pi}\|\tilde{B}_n(1/p + it)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\omega)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m (-1)^{i+j}\frac{\det H_{ij}}{\det H},$$

where H_{ij} are the (i, j)-th cofactors of H. On the other hand, the asymptotic relations (2.9) and (2.10) and Lemma 3 yield the following ones, as $n \to \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \det H_{jj} &\sim (\log n)^{m-1}\,\frac{p^{m-1}}{2^{m-1}}\frac{|1/p + it_1|^2 \dots |1/p + it_m|^2}{|1/p + it_j|^2} \\ \det H_{ij} &= O((\log n)^{m-2}), \quad i \neq j \\ \det H &\sim (\log n)^m \frac{p^m}{2^m}|1/p + it_1|^2 \dots |1/p + it_m|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{p\,\pi}\|\tilde{B}_n(1/p + it)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R},\omega)} \sim \frac{2}{p\log n}\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1/p^2 + t_j^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$d^2_{n,m,p} \sim \frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1/p^2 + t_j^2}, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

□

References

[1] T. M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.

[2] L. Báez-Duarte, On Beurling's real variable reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis, *Adv. Math.* **101** (1993), 10–30.

[3] L. Báez-Duarte, A class of invariant unitary operators, *Adv. Math.* **144** (1999), 1–12.

[4] L. Báez-Duarte, A strengthening of the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **14** (2003) 5–11.

[5] L. Báez-Duarte, A general strong Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *Publ. Inst. Math.* **78(92)** (2005), 117–125.

[6] L. Báez-Duarte, M. Balazard, B. Landreau and E. Saias, Notes sur la fonction ζ de Riemann, 3, *Adv. Math.* **149** (2000) 130–144.

[7] B. Bagchi. On Nyman, Beurling and Baez-Duarte's Hilbert space reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math.* **116** (2006), 137–146.

[8] M. Balazard et E. Saias, Notes sur la fonction ζ de Riemann, 1, *Adv. Math.* **139** (1998), 310–321.

[9] M. Balazard and A. de Roton, Sur un critère de Bàez-Duarte pour l'hypothèse de Riemann, *Int. J. Number Theory* **6** (2010), 883–903.

[10] H. Bercovici and C. Foias, A real variable restatement of Riemann's hypothesis, *Israel J. Maths.* **48** (1984), 57–68.

[11] S. Bettin, J. B. Conrey and D. W. Farmer, An optimal choice of Dirichlet polynomials for the Nyman–Beurling criterion, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 280 (2013), 38-44.

[12] A. Beurling, A Theorem on Functions Defined on a Semi-group, *Math. Scand.* Vol. 1, 1953.

[13] A. Beurling, A closure problem related to the Riemann zeta-function, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci* **41** (1955) 312–314.

[14] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2011.

[15] J. F. Burnol, A lower bound in an approximation problem involving the zeroes of the Riemann zeta function, *Adv. in Math.* **170** (2002), 56–70.

[16] C. Delaunay, E. Fricain, E. Mosaki and O. Robert, Zero-free regions for Dirichlet series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013) 3227–3253.

[17] C. Delaunay, E. Fricain, E. Mosaki and O. Robert, Zero-free regions for Dirichlet series (II), Math. Z. 273 (2013), 999–1023.

[18] A. de Roton, Généralisation du critère de Beurling et Nyman pour l'hypothèse de Riemann, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **340** (2005), 191–194.

[19] A. de Roton, Une approche hilbertienne de l'hypothèse de Riemann généralisée, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **134** (2006), 417–445

[20] A. de Roton. Généralisation du critère de Beurling-Nyman pour l'hypothèse de Riemann généralisée, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (2007), 6111–6126.

[21] A. de Roton, Une approche séquentielle de l'hypothèse de Riemann généralisée, *J. Number Theory.* **129** (2009), 2647–2658.

[22] U. Grenander and M. Rosenblatt, An extension of a theorem of G. Szegő and its application to the study of stochastic processes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1954), 112–126.

[23] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-function, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1985.

[24] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[25] J. E. Littlewood, Quelques conséquences de l'hypothèse que la fonction $\zeta(s)$ Riemann n'a pas de zéros dans le demiplan $\Re(s) > 1/2$, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **153** (1912), 263–266.

[26] D. S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal Dirichlet polynomials with arctangent density, *J. Approx. Theory* **177** (2014), 43–56.

[27] P. Nevai, On an extremal problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1979), 301–306.

[28] N. Nikolski, Distance formulae and invariant subspaces, with an application to localization of zeros of the Riemann ζ-function, *Ann. Inst. Fourier.* **45** (1995), 143–159.

[29] B. Nyman, *On Some Groups and Semigroups of Translation*, Thesis, Uppsala, 1950.

[30] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta–function*, 2nd ed. (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1986.

[31] V. I. Vasyunin, On a biorthogonal system related with the Riemann hypothesis, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **7** (1996), 405–419.

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, IBILCE, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 15054-000 Saõ José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.
E-mail address: dimitrov@ibilce.unesp.br

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, IBILCE, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 15054-000 Saõ José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.
E-mail address: wdoliveira@ibilce.unesp.br